

12/07/2017

To: Brynn Nheiley,
Acting Director of Planning and Development,
Town of Antigonish,
274 Main Street,
Antigonish, NS, B2G 2C4

Re: **Amendment to Approved Development Agreement
for 17 Adams Street**

Dear Acting Director;

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the requested amendments to DA 2-13.

First of all, I find it curious that you characterize this amendment as minor since it represents a 48% increase in the size of the building that was described in the original development agreement. A building of this size will impose an increased industrial character to our neighbourhood and significantly alter the residential ambience we once enjoyed.

To conserve what is left of the residential quality of our homes and properties, it is increasingly imperative that the requisite barrier, represented in DA 2-13 as a berm and plantings be constructed first. Furthermore, it should be of a height and depth that it affords a visual and sound barrier between the industrial activities of 17 Adams Street and the quiet enjoyment of our homes on Adams and Bay Streets.

While it is difficult to discern from the illustration of the plot plan presented to us under the heading, "Altered Structure for Consideration", it does seem that the placement of the enlarged building does not afford an adequate setback from some of the neighbouring residential properties to allow an adequate barrier to be built. It is our view that anything less than an effective buffer would be an unacceptable infringement on our homes and our lives.

Sincerely:

Joan Mac Arthur
Mary MacArthur

cc: Mayor Laurie Boucher, Chair of Planning Advisory committee

12/07/2017

To: Brynn Nheiley,
Acting Director of Planning and Development,
Town of Antigonish,
274 Main Street,
Antigonish, NS, B2G 2C4

Re: **Amendment to Approved Development Agreement
for 17 Adams Street**

Dear Acting Director;

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the requested amendments to DA 2-13.

First of all, I find it curious that you characterize this amendment as minor since it represents a 48% increase in the size of the building that was described in the original development agreement. A building of this size will impose an increased industrial character to our neighbourhood and significantly alter the residential ambience we once enjoyed.

To conserve what is left of the residential quality of our homes and properties, it is increasingly imperative that the requisite barrier, represented in DA 2-13 as a berm and plantings be constructed first. Furthermore, it should be of a height and depth that it affords a visual and sound barrier between the industrial activities of 17 Adams Street and the quiet enjoyment of our homes on Adams and Bay Streets.

While it is difficult to discern from the illustration of the plot plan presented to us under the heading, "Altered Structure for Consideration", it does seem that the placement of the enlarged building does not afford an adequate setback from some of the neighbouring residential properties to allow an adequate barrier to be built. It is our view that anything less than an effective buffer would be an unacceptable infringement on our homes and our lives.

Sincerely:

Martin MacDonald } 26 Bay St.
Benny MacDonald }

Ada Hansen } 12 Bay St.
Anna Durette }

cc: Mayor Laurie Boucher, Chair of Planning Advisory committee